

A remark on the ideal extension property ¹

R. BĚLOHLÁVEK

Dept. Computer Science, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, CZ-779 00

Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: radim.belohlav@upol.cz

Inst. Fuzzy Modeling, University of Ostrava, Bráfova 7, CZ-701 03 Ostrava,
Czech Republic

Abstract² In [1], the authors proved that for algebras of subtractive varieties, the principal ideal extension property implies the ideal extension property. We give another proof which avoids the use of Zorn Lemma.

In a series of papers [4, 1, 2] the authors study subtractive varieties of universal algebras, mainly from the point of view of ideal theory. Let \mathcal{V} denote a subtractive variety, i.e. there is a binary term s such that $s(x, x) = 0$ and $s(x, 0) = 0$ hold in \mathcal{V} where 0 is an equationally defined constant of \mathcal{V} . A subset I of A where A is a support of some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ is called an ideal if for every ideal term $p(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of \mathcal{V} and for every $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ it holds that $p(a_1, \dots, a_m, i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I$. A term p is called an ideal term of \mathcal{V} if $p(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ holds in \mathcal{V} . The set of all ideals of \mathbf{A} is denoted by $I(\mathbf{A})$. For $X \subseteq A, (X)^{\mathbf{A}}$ denotes the least ideal of $I(\mathbf{A})$ containing X . An ideal I is called principal if $I = (i)^{\mathbf{A}}$ for some $i \in A$. An algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ satisfies the ideal extension property (IEP) if for each subalgebra \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{A} and each $I \in I(\mathbf{B})$ there is $J \in I(\mathbf{A})$ such that $J \cap B = I$. \mathbf{A} satisfies the principal IEP if for each subalgebra \mathbf{B} of \mathbf{A} and any $b \in B, (b)^{\mathbf{A}} \cap B = (b)^{\mathbf{B}}$. For $\theta \subseteq A \times A$ and $X \subseteq A$ put $(X)_{\theta} = \{a \in A \mid \langle x, a \rangle \in \theta \text{ for some } x \in X\}$. The following proposition has been proved in [1] using Zorn Lemma.

PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{V} is subtractive. If \mathbf{A} has the principal IEP, then \mathbf{A} has the IEP.*

We give simple proof of Proposition without the use of Zorn Lemma.

Proof. Let \mathbf{B} be a subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}, I \in I(\mathbf{B})$. Obviously, we have to show $(I)^{\mathbf{A}} \cap B \subseteq I$. $a \in (I)^{\mathbf{A}}$ holds iff $a \in (i_1)^{\mathbf{A}} \vee \dots \vee (i_n)^{\mathbf{A}}$ (since $()^{\mathbf{A}}$ is an algebraic closure system [4, p. 205]) which is by [1, 1.14] equivalent to $s_n(a, c_n, \dots, c_2) \in (i_1)^{\mathbf{A}}$ for some $c_k \in (i_k)^{\mathbf{A}}, k = 2, \dots, n$ (where s_n is defined inductively by $s_1(x) = x$ and $s_{n+1}(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = s(s_n(x, y_2, \dots, y_n), y_1)$). We prove by induction over n the following claim: for each $C \in \text{Sub } \mathbf{A}$ such that $B \subseteq C$, and $a \in C, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I \in I(\mathbf{C})$, if there are $c_k \in (i_k)^{\mathbf{A}}, k = 2, \dots, n$, such

¹Supported by project Aktion 30p4 “Dependence and algebraic properties of congruence classes.”

²1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A30, 08B99

Keywords: subtractive variety, ideal extension property

that $s_n(a, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in (i_1)^{\mathbf{A}}$, then $a \in I$. For $C = B$ the claim obviously yields the required inclusion $(I)^{\mathbf{A}} \cap B \subseteq I$. The claim follows directly by the principal IEP for $n = 1$. Suppose the claim holds for $n - 1$. Take $D = (C)_{\theta_{(i_n)^{\mathbf{A}}}} \in \text{Sub } \mathbf{A}$ where $\theta_{(i_n)^{\mathbf{A}}}$ is some congruence [4, Proposition 1.4] with the class $(i_1)^{\mathbf{A}}$. Since, by the principal IEP, $(i_n)^{\mathbf{A}} \cap C = (i_n)^{\mathbf{C}} \subseteq I$, it follows from [1, Lemma] that $J = (I)_{\theta_{(i_n)^{\mathbf{A}}}}$ is an ideal in D such that $J \cap C = I$. Clearly, $s(a, c_n) \in D$, $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in J \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{D})$, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1} , satisfy the assumptions for $n - 1$, hence $s(a, c_n) \in J$. Moreover, by $a \in D$, $c_n \in J \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{D})$, we get $a \in J$. Hence $a \in J \cap C = I$, completing the proof. \square

REMARK. [3] shows a general result: for quasivarieties, so-called principal relative congruence extension property implies relative congruence extension property (with the notions appropriately defined). The proof does not use Zorn lemma. For varieties, their result gives a proof of the well-known Day's result avoiding the use of Zorn lemma. Our result concerns extension of ideals, not congruences, and is valid for subtractive varieties. Note that subtractivity is heavily used in the proof.

References

- [1] AGLIANO, P. and URSINI, A., *On subtractive varieties II: General properties*, Algebra Universalis 36(1996), 222-259.
- [2] AGLIANO, P. and URSINI, A., *On subtractive varieties II: From ideals to congruences*, Algebra Universalis 37(1997), 296-333.
- [3] BLOK W. J., PIGOZZI D.: *On the congruence extension property*. Algebra Universalis 38(1997), 391-394.
- [4] URSINI, A., *On subtractive varieties, I*, Algebra Universalis 31(1994), 204-222.